



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/085,040	03/01/2002		Joseph C. Cauthen	08442.0002-04	8078
22852	7590	11/01/2004		EXAM	INER
	N, HENDE	ERSON, FARABO	CHATTOPADHYAY, URMI		
LLP 1300 I STRE	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				3738	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		lpha	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s) CAUTHEN, JOSEPH C.	
Advisory Action	10/085,040		
, a , i o i o i o i o i o i o i o i o i o i	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3	application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	
PERIOD FOR	RREPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS are date on which the petition under 37 extension and the corresponding amount tened statutory period for reply original	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee int of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ly set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appells 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered 	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		

(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. ■ The drawing correction filed on 24 March 2004 is a) ■ approved or b) ■ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8/25/04.

(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, first paragraph.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other:

issues for appeal; and/or

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: 64-66.

Claim(s) rejected: 45-62 and 67-93.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

application in condition for allowance because: _____.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

URMI CHATTOPADHYAY

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 49 requires further consideration and search because the limitation of the device "further comprising a flexible bladder" had not previously been claimed in combination with the device in the implanted configuration having "at least one dimension larger than said aperture dimension" and without the "wherein, in said delivery configuration, said device is constructed to pass substantially through said aperture, and in said implanted configuration, said device is constructed to span the aperture subannularly along said selected axis with substantially no trauma to the aperture" limitation. In the office action mailed 9/25/03, the examiner had not indicated that claims 64-66 contain allowable subject matter and would be allowable if written in independent form. In fact, claim 64 had been rejected as being anticipated by Lambrecht because claim 49 at that point did not receive benefit of the parent and provisional applications.

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700